

REMARKS

In response to the Examiner's advisory action dated October 22, 2007, and upon further reflection the Applicant amends Claim 23 to share the distinction over the prior art presented by the amendment to Claim 19. That is, the fluid injection aperture is both round in cross section and has its center on the axis of the catheter. As described more fully in the previous response, this feature is not shared by the applied references. As noted in the previous action the aperture of Drasler the round is located way off axis. For this reason the amended claim does not describe and therefore is not anticipated by the Drasler reference. The fact that the structures of Drasler do not give rise to the Coanda effect suggest that the claim is not obvious in view of Drasler.

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the opportunity to present this additional argument.


CONCLUSION

All of the claims remaining in this application should now be seen to be in condition for allowance. The prompt issuance of a notice to that effect is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
SPRITE SOLUTIONS
By its attorneys:

Date: _____

11/21/07



Robert C. Beck
Registration No. 28,184
Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C.
2900 Thomas Ave., #100
Minneapolis, MN 55416
Telephone: (612) 915-9635
Fax: (612) 915-9637